

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 42843 of 2020
Date of decision: 18.12.2020.**

Rahul Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 474 dated 16.09.2020, under Sections 380, 454 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Section 411 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sector 7, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is being falsely implicated just because he is the brother of co-accused, namely, Raju.

Learned State counsel, however, contends that co-accused, namely, Mohd. Kasim has been arrested from whom stolen articles were recovered. He has disclosed that the articles had been given to him by the petitioner.

Heard.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he along with

others had allegedly committed theft of laptop, mobile phones, speaker, wallets, credit cards, debit cards etc. and other valuable documents of the complainant and other persons in the locality. In view of the aforementioned allegations, I do not consider it to be a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

18.12.2020
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No