

129

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 21.12.2020

1. CRM-M No.42607 of 2020 (O&M)

Kapur Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

2. CRM-M No.42646 of 2020 (O&M)

Kapur Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

3. CRM-M No.42652 of 2020 (O&M)

Kapur Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

4. CRM-M No.42683 of 2020 (O&M)

Kapur Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

5. CRM-M No.42840 of 2020 (O&M)

Kapur Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

6. CRM-M No.43167 of 2020 (O&M)

Kapur Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner (in all the cases)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in these petition is for setting-aside the order dated 07.09.2020 (Annexure P-13) passed by the trial Court in Criminal Complaint bearing Nos.RBT-156/09.08.2019 NACT 108/2019 titled as “Ramesh Kumar vs M/s. Guru Nanak Agro Industries and another”, No.RBT-25.2/25.01.2019 NACT 109/2019 titled as “Ramesh Kumar vs M/s. Guru Nanak Agro Industries and another”, No.RBT-152/25.01.2019/09.08.2019 NACT 104/2019 titled as “Ramesh Kumar vs M/s. Guru Nanak Agro Industries and another”, No.RBT-155/25.01.2019/09.08.2019 NACT 105/2019 titled as “Ramesh Kumar vs M/s. Guru Nanak Agro Industries and another”, No.RBT-153/09.08.2019 NACT 107/2019 titled as “Ramesh Kumar vs M/s. Guru Nanak Agro Industries and another” and No.RBT-22.2/25.01.2019 NACT 106/2019 titled as “Ramesh Kumar vs M/s. Guru Nanak Agro Industries and another”, vide which the bail bonds of the petitioner/accused were cancelled and further arrest warrants have been issued against the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was summoned in the aforesaid criminal complaints and was facing the trial. The trial Court had directed the petitioner to pay 20% of the cheque amount in terms of the application filed under Section 143-A but the same could not be deposited.

Thereafter, the petitioner absented from the Court proceedings on 07.09.2020 and his bail/surety bonds were cancelled by

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

the trial Court in all the aforesaid 06 cases.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that thereafter an application was moved before the trial Court that the petitioner was suffering from COVID-19 infection/virus and was under treatment with Cygnus Super Speciality Hospital, Kaithal where he was declared to be a patient of COVID-19 and is currently, home quarantined. The case was then adjourned for placing on record some additional documents. It is further argued that the petitioner is an old man aged about 73 years and is facing threat of arrest.

Counsel for the petitioner undertake that the petitioner will surrender before the trial Court on or before 15.02.2021 and will also deposit 20% of the cheque amount as ordered by the trial Court and will also furnish fresh bail/surety bonds.

In view of the above, issuance of notice of motion is dispensed with, at this stage and all the petitions are disposed of with a direction to the petitioner that he will surrender before the trial Court on or before 15.02.2021 and he will be released on bail subject to his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds and on deposit of 20% of the cheque amount as directed by the trial Court.

Till 15.02.2021, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

21.12.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No