

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 4013 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision:24.02.2020

Karmate and another

....Appellants

Versus

Jasmeen @ Pinky and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. G.N. Malik, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that during pendency of the appeal, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties by way of compromise deed dated 03.02.2020 which contains certain terms and conditions, duly signed by both the parties and in pursuance of that, an amount of Rs. 10,00,000/-, by way of cheque, was given to respondent No.1 and the same has also been encashed.

Both the parties have agreed before learned lower Court with regard to the visitation rights of the child once in a month. An amount of Rs. 11,00,000/- still remains to be paid to respondent No.1 within a period of three months.

Both the parties have been identified by their respective counsel. The terms and conditions as mentioned in the compromise deed as well as the visitation rights to the respondent-wife by way of statement before the lower Court are not disputed. Both the parties have undertaken before this Court to comply with all the terms and conditions as mentioned in the compromise deed as well as the undertaking with regard to visitation rights. The certified copy of the compromise deed has been placed on record.

In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, the appeal is allowed and the impugned judgment dated 27.05.2015 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Malerkotla is hereby set aside.

[DAYA CHAUDHARY]
JUDGE

[MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA]
JUDGE

February 24, 2020

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned

yes/no

Whether reportable?

yes/no