

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-3591-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.2.2020**

Arun Kumar Mittal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate,
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSVP.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have posed a challenge to the demand notice whereby additional price is sought by the HUDA on account of enhancement in the compensation for development of Sectors 7 and 8, Panipat.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for HUDA submits that the amount has been recalculated in view of revised policy dated 22.08.2019. *Ipso facto* the demand notice already issued would stand withdrawn.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for HUDA, no

Needless to observe that the petitioners shall be at liberty to file afresh in case any grouse subsists after *de novo* exercise is completed by the HUDA.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2020
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No