

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 21924 of 2020

Date of Decision: December 18, 2020

M/s Guru Kirpa Rice Mill VPO Hambran

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate and
 Ms. Anjali Bansal, Advocate
 for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because allegedly, it has not been supplied adequate quantity of paddy. It is submitted that paddy is to be allotted in accordance with the milling capacity of the miller. The miller is further entitled to additional paddy in case he has met the previous target. Certain millers like the petitioner are being discriminated against in the matter of allotment of paddy because they do not grease the palm of the persons involved in making the allotment. A detailed legal notice dated 27.11.2020 (Annexure P-6) has been submitted so that an enquiry may be conducted and the process of allotment may be streamlined but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 7 only.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 7 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity of filing a written statement.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to

objectively consider the legal notice dated 27.11.2020 and decide the same by

passing a speaking order thereupon within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petitioner be also heard before the order is passed. In case it is found that an investigation/enquiry is to be conducted the same may also be done within a further period of four weeks.

December 18, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No