

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21686-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 16.12.2020

Ravinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.B.S.Sra, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Parvesh Kumar Saini, Advocate for UOI

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has been repatriated to his parent department on account of pendency of FIR No. 5 dated 29.2.2020 under Section 420 IPC and Section 7 Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the aforesaid FIR the petitioner has entered into a compromise and therefore, the petitioner cannot be repatriated.

Admittedly, the petitioner is working in the Intelligence Wing on deputation basis. There is no bar on the Intelligence Wing to repatriate the employee, who is working with it on deputation basis, to his parent department. In the present case, the petitioner is involved in a case under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. In such circumstances, this Court does not find any fault with the repatriation of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further relies upon an order passed in CWP-19332 of 2020 **Gurmeet Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**. This Court has carefully perused the order. In the facts of that case, the Court issued notice of motion, however, the facts of the present case and the aforesaid case are not at par. Hence, no ground to issue writ is made out.

Dismissed.

16.12.2020
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No