

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

278

CWP No.5860 of 2017

Date of Decision : 19.02.2020

Anjali and others

....Petitioners

v/s

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] On 18.02.2020, the following order was passed:-

“Result of petitioner Nos.1 to 3 for the post of LDC and that of petitioner No.3 for the post of UDC also in BCA category, has been produced in sealed cover, which on opening reveals the petitioners to have secured more marks than the last selected candidate.

However, learned Sr. DAG, Haryana states that the petitioners are not entitled to be considered for selection and appointment since they did not put in appearance at the time of scrutiny of documents, consequently, in view of the decision of this Court in Rekha Jangra vs. State of Haryana 2017 (3) SCT 70, Saachi Mahajan vs. Punjab University and others 2018(1) PLR 361 and Man Rajan Singh Bhinder vs. HSSC and another CWP No.8534 of 2017, decided on 02.05.2017, the writ petition is misconceived and is liable to be dismissed as such.

Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for an adjournment to enable him to go over the said decisions.

List on 19.02.2020.

*Result be resealed and be returned to learned Sr. DAG,
Haryana with a direction to produce the same on the next date.*

To be taken up immediately after urgent cases.”

[2] Today, despite the case having been called out twice, none is present on behalf of the petitioners nor has any request for pass over or adjournment been made. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the writ petition.

[3] ***Dismissed in default.***

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

February 19, 2020
‘Rajneesh’

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No