

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 21618 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 15.12.2020

Electrosteel Castings Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bahl, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Drishtana Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings
are being conducted in virtual Court).

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that to redress its grievances, the petitioner-company has already filed a representation dated 10.12.2020 before the respondent authorities but no decision thereon has been taken as yet.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana submits that in case the petitioner has already filed a representation before the respondent-authorities, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, the petition filed by the petitioner stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent-authorities to decide the representation already filed by the petitioner expeditiously in accordance with law and thereafter communicate the same to the petitioner.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and therefore, the respondent-authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

15.12.2020

RAVINDER SANGH
2020.12.15 18:23
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No