

Sr.No.113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10694 of 2020

Date of decision:22.12.2020

Navneet Juneja

... Petitioner(s)

versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

... Respondent (s)

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jasgurpreet Singh Puri

Present: Mr. Jagtar Kureel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Through Video Conference

Jasgurpreet Singh Puri, J.(Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the prayer in the present petition may be confined only for the purpose of grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioner. He has further submitted that he is not pressing any other prayer which has been made in the petition and for that purpose he would be seeking appropriate course of action.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is a lady and married to respondent No.5 who is working as Assistant Sub Inspector in Punjab Police and she has two minor daughters. Learned

counsel has further submitted that respondent No.5 has constantly been threatening and beating not only the petitioner but also her two daughters. He has further submitted that there is an acute and active threat from respondents No.5 and 6 in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. J.S.Toor, APP, UT, Chandigarh has submitted that it is a case of State of Punjab and therefore, UT, Chandigarh has been wrongly impleaded as party.

In view of the above, it is directed that respondent No.1 be deleted from the Memo of Parties.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh Dulat, Addl.AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 and submits that State has no objection in case appropriate direction is issued to Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali for looking into the grievance with regard to threat perception to life and liberty of the petitioner and her two daughters.

In view of the above, it would be just and proper to direct the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali (respondent No.3) to consider the threat perception of the petitioner and her daughters and to take further necessary action in accordance with law within one week from the receipt of

certified copy of this order. It is made clear that directions issued in this case pertain only to the protection of life and liberty to the petitioner and her daughters and does not relate to any other dispute of the petitioner with any other person on any other subject matter.

Disposed of.

22nd December, 2020

[JASGURPREET SINGH PURI]

Shivani Kaushik

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No