

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 42042 of 2020
Date of Decision: 16.12.2020

Lalo Bai @ Bibi Lalo Bai and another

-Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

CRM-M No.36742 of 2020 was got dismissed as withdrawn with a liberty to file fresh petition with better particulars i.e. with correct nomenclature of the parties.

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate legal action against private respondents in respect of their grievances as pointed out in the representation dated 18.09.2020 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied at this stage if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide their pending representation dated 18.09.2020 in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response as no order prejudicial to the interest of any party is being passed.

In view of above, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioners and decide the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded in the petition. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioner is decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

December 16, 2020

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No