

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-36351-2019
Date of decision: 28.01.2020**

Sushil Dhawan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Anant Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A. P. S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 0204 dated 08.12.2018, registered under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Navi Baradari, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the police apprehended one Sukhdev Kumar and recovered 1750 capsules of Tramadol and thereafter, on the disclosure of said Sukhdev Kumar, the petitioner was nominated and arrested later on. The petitioner, after his arrest, also suffered disclosure statement that he knew co-accused Sukhdev Kumar very well and has supplied him intoxicant capsules even on earlier occasions. On the basis of the said disclosure of the petitioner, recovery of 1200 capsules of Tramadol and 580 capsules of Parvorin Spas was effected.

The aforesaid fact is supported by the affidavit of

Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, which is on record.

Considering the fact that the recovery effected from the petitioner falls under the commercial quantity, I find no ground to grant him the concession of regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

28.01.2020
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No