

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10384-2020

Date of decision:-15.12.2020

Shalu Khanna and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.C.S. Singhal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Shalu Khanna, aged about 24 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Mandeep aged about 23 years against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

It is further stated that the petitioner No.1 had submitted a written representation before respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Panipat dated 9.12.2020 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P-7 without evoking any response so far.

Notice of motion to respondent -State.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Panipat to look into the representation Annexure P7, assess threat perception to the petitioners and if he finds that some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

15.12.2020
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No