

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10378-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 22.12.2020

Rajender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing respondents No. 1 to 5 to get release the detinue, namely, Pooja-wife of the petitioner, who is alleged to be illegally detained by respondents No. 6 and 7 i.e. her parents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with detinue on 07.5.2019 and she was happily residing with him. He further submits that on 27.11.2020, at about 7.00 A.M., respondents No. 6 and 7 along with other musclemen/goons forcibly took away the detinue from the house of the petitioner and have illegally detained her and since then, she was not allowed to talk to the petitioner.

Vide order dated 10.12.2020, respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Jind, was directed to depute an officer, not below the rank of an Inspector, to record the statement of detainee-Pooja.

In compliance thereof, learned State counsel submitted the statement dated 15.12.2020 of detainee-Pooja recorded by Inspector Dinesh Kumar, SHO PS Sadar, Jind, through email, the same is taken on record.

In the statement, detainee-Pooja stated that after marriage she was living happily with her husband and 15 days prior she went to her parental house to meet them and on her own will she stayed with them. She also stated that on 22.12.2020, she will reach to the Police Station Sadar Jind along with her husband to appear before the Court through Video Conferencing, in compliance to the order dated 10.12.2020.

Today, I have interacted with detainee-Pooja on Video Conferencing and she has stated that she has joined the company of her husband and at present she has no problem from either side.

At this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the detainee-Pooja has joined the company of her husband-petitioner, namely, Rajender, therefore, he does not press the present petition.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for in the present petition and the same is disposed of.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.12.2020

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No