

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

104

CRM-M-41915 of 2020

Date of decision:15.12.2020

Balwinder Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.C.M.Munjal, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, upon FIR no.211, dated 14.11.2019, having been registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa, alleging therein the commission of an offence punishable under Section 306 of the IPC.

Though Mr.Munjal, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the case of the petitioner is different to that of Inderjit Singh @ Golu and Jagseer Singh @ Sira, who had also filed identical petitions which were dismissed on 17.11.2020, and he wishes to argue that in fact the suicide note allegedly executed by the deceased did not come up at all in the initial complaint but was only produced subsequently, however the petitions filed

by two very similarly placed co-accused (as per the allegations made in the said suicide note), having already been dismissed, I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is consequently dismissed.

However, naturally, as also stated in the order passed in those petitions (CRM-M-36407 of 2020 and CRM-M-36865 of 2020), dismissal of these cases is only in the context of petitions filed under the provisions of Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. and therefore in case of the arrest of the petitioner, if any petition under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. is filed, obviously that would be dealt with wholly on its own merits by the competent court, expeditiously.

15.12.2020
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No