

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21275 of 2020
Date of decision 10.12.2020

Inderjit Singh Maggo

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed with a prayer that the petitioner be allowed to undergo second dope test as the initial dope test conducted upon the petitioner, which is a pre-requirement for renewal of armed licence, was found positive due to the medicine, which the petitioner was taking at the time of initial dope test.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made a request in this regard to the Deputy Magistrate, Fatehgarh Sahib by filing a representation dated 24.09.2020 (Annexure P/8) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Learned State counsel submits that though, a

representation dated 24.09.2020 has been attached with the present writ petition as Annexure P/8 but, as per her instructions, no such representation has been received in the office of District Magistrate, Fatehgarh Sahib.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that another comprehensive representation requesting the District Magistrate, Fatehgarh Sahib for allowing the petitioner a second dope test, which is mandatory for renewal of armed licence, will be submitted by the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, a representation is received from the petitioner in this regard, she has instructions that the same will be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, no grievance of the petitioner survives, at this stage, and, therefore, the he does not press the present writ petition any further and the same be disposed of as such.

Present writ petition is disposed of as having been not pressed.

It is made clear that the respondent-State will be bound by their statement made hereinbefore.

December 10, 2020

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No