

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRWP-10292-2020
Decided on : 10.12.2020

Sukhreet Kaur & anr.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. S.K.Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondent i.e. respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Sukhreet Kaur, aged 30 years and petitioner No.2 – Inderjit Singh, aged 38 years, have got married against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondent No.4. It has further been submitted that the private respondent is threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they have approached respondent No.2 by filing representation dated 07.12.2020 (Annexure P-5) seeking protection in that regard and have also approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of Court, Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. She on instructions from SI Kulwant Singh submits that petitioner No.1 has

appeared before the police station concerned today i.e. 10.12.2020 and has made a statement that she no longer apprehends any threat to her life and liberty.

5. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement made by learned State counsel he does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

6. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of as having been infructuous.

10.12.2020
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No