

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4220 of 2017

Date of decision: 12.03.2020

Pahel Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr.Sandeep Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4-
HSAMB.

Mr. Himmat Singh Sidhu, Advocate for Mr. Amit Jhanji,
Advocate for respondent No.5.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that due to efflux of time and the relief prayed for having lost its significance, the writ petition has become infructuous and may be permitted to be withdrawn.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

March 12, 2020
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No