

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP/29388-2018

Date of Decision: 31.01.2020

Rai Singh (Jr. Engineer Field).

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and Others.

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. S. Walia

Present: Mr. Mohnish Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

 Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate
 for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J

1. Prayer in the petition under Article 226/ 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of *certiorari* for quashing order Annexure P/11 dated 14.11.2018 transferring the petitioner from Panipat to Samalkha.

2. While issuing notice of motion, it was directed that in the meantime, the Department would consider the case of the petitioner for not transferring him to Samalkha.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant thereto the petitioner has been allowed to continue at Panipat and is continuing there till date.

4. Learned counsel for respondents, on the other hand, states that despite a number of communications having been addressed by him to the respondents no reply is forthcoming.

5. In the light of the position as noted above, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by permitting the petitioner to continue at his present place of posting i.e. Panipat till the next annual transfers scheduled to take place in April-May, 2020. Thereafter, the question of retaining the petitioner at Panipat or transferring him elsewhere in accordance with the rules applicable as well as the transfer policy would be considered and taken by the competent authority, as per law.

Writ petition disposed of in the afore-mentioned terms.

January 31, 2020

Sonia Puri

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No