

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29338 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.02.2020

Roshni Devi

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG Haryana

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner *inter alia* prays for a direction to the respondents to consider and promote her to the post of DSP w.e.f. the date her juniors were promoted. The petitioner has further prayed for grant of consequential benefits as well.

(2) Notice of motion in this case was issued on 13.12.2018. On 06.02.2019, reply has been filed by Mr. Deepak Gahlawat, IPS, SP, Law & Order, Panchkula on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. In para 3 thereof, it has been averred that no junior to the petitioner has been promoted and there are only 6 vacancies in the rank of Dy.S.P. under promotion quota and 21 Inspectors are still senior to the petitioner who have not been promoted as Dy.S.P.

(3) Thereafter on 25.02.2019, another additional affidavit was filed by the said Officer itself deposing that in the meantime, the State Government have created more posts in the rank of Dy.S.P. and now 21 posts are lying vacant for promotion quota in the rank of Dy.S.P. and 11 Inspectors are senior to the petitioner who have not been promoted. It is further averred that the name of the petitioner is now under consideration

zone. Still further, it has been mentioned that the case (of both male and female) was sent to Establishment-I Branch for consideration of promotion cases of eligible Inspectors along with the petitioner to the rank of Dy.S.P.

(4) Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner's name is under consideration zone and the matter is under active consideration, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous as no further orders are called for except that in case by now formal orders of consideration of case of promotion of the petitioner have not been issued, the same be considered and passed within 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(5) So ordered.

28.02.2020

Vvishal

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No