

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41238-2020

Date of decision:-11.12.2020

Beant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Gazi Mohd. Umair, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Beant Singh, aged about 38 years son of Lal Singh, resident of village Keharsinghwali Longowal, District Sangrur, the complainant in FIR No.93 dated 9.6.2020 for the offences under Sections 302, 323, 324, 120-B, 149 IPC, registered with Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur, feeling aggrieved by the Inquiry report dated 30.9.2020 submitted by Sukhdev Singh Virk, SP(D), Barnala, District Barnala, observing that offence under Section 304 IPC is made out and not one under Section 302 IPC, has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to State of Punjab, Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector 9, Chandigarh and Additional Chief Secretary, Home Punjab, for initiating departmental proceedings against Sukhdev Singh Virk, SP(D), Barnala, District Barnala allegedly for submitting a wrong report after taking heavy amount from the accused persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that challan has

been filed in this case and the complainant has moved an application in the trial Court for charge-sheeting the accused for offence under Section 302 IPC instead of offence under Section 304 IPC for which they have been challaned.

The complainant has taken recourse to the legal remedy for redressal of his grievances in that regard. As far as his grouse of Sukhdev Singh Virk, SP(D), Barnala, District Barnala having allegedly submitted a wrong report to favour the accused after allegedly obtaining heavy bribe from them, learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that petitioner has sent complaint against this officer to DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh as well as Additional Chief Secretary, Home Punjab, copy of that complaint has been attached as Annexure P-5.

Under the circumstances, the petitioner has taken recourse to the remedy available to him as per law. The respondents No.2 and 3 i.e. DGP, Punjab and Additional Chief Secretary, Home Punjab are expected to look into the grievances of the petitioner against Sukhdev Singh Virk, SP(D), Barnala, District Barnala as per law and no direction from this Court in that regard is required.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

11.12.2020
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No