

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(109)

CWP-21405-2020

Date of Decision: December 14, 2020

Shisha Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

**Board of Directors, The Punjab State Cooperative Supply and
Marketing Federation Ltd and another**

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that vide Resolution dated 15.12.1992 (Annexure P-5), the respondent-Federation had decided to regularize the services of the class III and IV employees, who were working on adhoc/temporary/daily wages basis and had two years service by the said date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was eligible for regularization of his services but the said benefit was not granted to him and rather subsequently in the year 1994, his services were terminated and he was reinstated in the year 2012 keeping in view the order passed by the labour Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is entitled for the regularization of his services with effect from the date, the similarly situated personnel or persons junior to him, were regularized in pursuance to Resolution dated 15.12.1992

(Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already approached the Managing Director of respondent-Federation more than once and he has filed representations in this regard, which have been appended with this petition as Annexures P-7 to P-10 and the said representations are still pending consideration with the said authority and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representations dated 18.05.2016, 29.08.2018, 19.11.2019 and 12.11.2020 (Annexures P-7 to P-10 respectively), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said representations within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

December 14, 2020
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No