

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2738-2020

Date of Decision: 09.12.2020

Sarabjit Kaur

...Petitioner

V/S

Surendra Lamba and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic Covid-19.

2. Learned counsel contends that despite order dated 28.09.2020, in CRM-M-30028-2020, directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, to look into the representation submitted by the petitioner and take such action as may be warranted under the law, nothing has been done till date, therefore, the respondent is liable to be proceeded against under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for intentional and willful disobedience of order dated 28.09.2020, in CRM-M-30028-2020.

3. Issue notice to respondent No.1 to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him for non-compliance with order dated 28.09.2020, in CRM-M-30028-2020.

4. Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and on instructions from respondent No.1 states that pursuant to order dated 28.09.2020, in CRM-M-30028-2020, statement of the petitioner has been recorded on 27.11.2020 and that FIR would be

registered on the complaint of the petitioner, within two weeks from today.

5. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition if the circumstances of the case so warrant.

6. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage while directing respondent No.1 to take action as per assurance held out through learned State counsel, within two weeks from today. Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

09.12.2020

'Rajesh-PS'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No