

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(108)

CWP-21402-2020

Date of Decision: December 14, 2020

Harchand Singh Barsat

.. Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation Patiala and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

It has been pleaded in the present petition that construction contrary to the building regulations is being done by respondent No.4 and the unauthorized constructions, being carried out by respondent No.4 are not being taken care by the Municipal Corporation despite the fact that the petitioner has already approached the Municipal Corporation more than once.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance which has been raised in the present petition has been brought to the notice of the Municipal Corporation, Patiala vide legal notice dated 10.10.2020 (Annexure P-7) which is still pending consideration with the said authority and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in

the legal notice dated 10.10.2020 (Annexure P-7), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide legal notice dated 10.10.2020 (Annexure P-7) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 14, 2020

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No