

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35390-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 30, 2020

Khonendra Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed for quashing of the FIR No. 169 dated 22.05.2018 under Sections 346 and 365 IPC, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, district Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that all the allegations as set out in the FIR are patently false.

Pursuant to the notice of motion having been issued, a reply has been filed by way of an affidavit of Veer Singh, HPS, Asstt. Commissioner of Police, Pataudi, Gurugram who submits that the matter has been investigated and during the course of investigation, the statement of the prosecutrix-Geeta Devi was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., wherein she stated that her husband used to beat her as well as her son, as

such she went away with K.K. Gautam on her own free will and was not kidnapped by him. Based on the said statement of the prosecutrix, a cancellation report has been prepared which stand approved by the higher officers.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the reply which is being taken on record. Based on the said reply and the fact that the cancellation report has been prepared, no further orders are called for by this Court. The petition is accordingly disposed of, however, it is left to the petitioner to re-agitate the matter in case the cancellation report is not accepted.

January 30, 2020*seema***(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.