

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

**CWP-21042-2020(O&M)
Date of Order:18.12.2020**

ABHISHEK BINDAL

..Petitioner

Versus

**HARYANA STATE ROADS AND BRIDGES DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTD**

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. R.K.Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conference on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The services of the petitioner, who was working on contract basis, have been dispensed with. It is not in dispute that the petitioner would fall within the definition of workmen.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner applied for leave, however, his services have been dispensed with. He further contends that the persons junior to the petitioner have been retrained.

This court has considered the submissions.

In the considered opinion of this Court, the petitioner has equally efficacious remedy of applying for a reference under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Hence, this court is not inclined to issue the writ as prayed for.

The petitioner is relegated to the alternative remedy.

Disposed of.

December 18, 2020

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

nt

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No