

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9981 of 2015

Date of decision: 18.02.2020

Niranjan Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

PRTC and others

... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present writ petition the claim of the petitioner is for grant of benefit of ACP as well as consequential benefits on refixation of his pay.

Upon notice of the motion, the respondents have filed reply in which details of the conduct of the petitioner during his service career has been described that the petitioner was issued punishment of censure 22 times, warning was issued to him 24 times. He remained absent from duty more than once and 15 annual increments of the petitioner were stopped with and without cumulative effect apart from being suspended seven times.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the respondents, if rules permit so.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

18.02.2020.

aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |