

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28372-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.3.2020

Harbans Lal Juneja and others

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Ankur Mittal. Addl. AG, Haryana,
for respondents No.1, 3 and 4.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-UOI.

Mr., Gaurav Singla, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to order dated 9.1.2020, Director General, Mines and
Geology Department, Haryana is present in Court.

Petitioner has prayed for a writ in the nature of mandamus
directing the respondents to take immediate action for restraining the
alleged indiscriminate and illicit mining in the fertile land of village
Faridpur, District Karnal.

Counsel for the petitioner has referred to report Annexure P-2
to contend that mining contract was granted despite the fact that Ambient

granted. A committee had gone into the matter and found that concentration PM_{10} was much higher than the prescribed standards and was of the view that mining activity may not be allowed in the area i.e. villages Barsat and Faridpur. It has been claimed by some of the petitioners particularly petitioner No.1 that mining activity has taken place below the permissible depth in the agricultural land. It appears that vide order dated 4.12.2019, Coordinate Bench had directed CJM, Karnal to visit the spot and prepare a report after verifying the depth of the mining area and distance from the land of the petitioner adjoining to the land where mining activity is going on. A report dated 9.12.2019 was received. A query has been put to the counsel for the State whether all these issues can be examined by a committee to be constituted by Principal Secretary (Mining) and a fresh report can be obtained from Haryana State Pollution Control Board, he submits that needful shall be done at the earliest in any case not later than three months. Ordered accordingly.

In view of the above, no further direction is necessary.

Disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

March 12, 2020

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No