

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-41483-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-10.12.2020

Raj Kumar @ Raj Sarvi and others ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that respondent No.4-Neelam Kumari Rattu had earlier i.e. about 5 years back made a complaint against the petitioners alleging therein that petitioner No.1-Raj Kumar @ Raj Sarvi, while holding a false promise of marriage, had established physical relations with her. It is contended that said complaint was duly enquired into and the same was consigned as no action was warranted as would be evident from the report dated 02.06.2015 (Annexure P-1).

It has been submitted that respondent No.4 has now again made identical allegations in a fresh complaint addressed to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar (Annexure P-2) so as to unnecessarily harass the petitioners.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to ensure that as and when complaint (Annexure P-2) is examined, the earlier report be also looked into. It is further directed that in case any of the petitioners is required to be associated with any fresh enquiry or any information is to be elicited, then a prior notice be issued to them before summoning them.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

10.12.2020

pankaj

**(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No