

Tania Bhola and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ish Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no. 4 to 7, upon them having married each other (as contended) against the wishes of the said respondents, on 01.12.2020.

On a specific query put to learned counsel for the petitioners, it has been stated that neither are the petitioners in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier. He states that he has obtained specific instructions from the petitioners in that regard.

Consequently, since protection of life and liberty is a fundamental right of every citizen under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, without making any comment whatsoever on the validity of the marriage, or otherwise, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no. 2 and 3, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not put to any harm or threat at the hands of the aforesaid respondents, or at their behest.

As regards the age of petitioner no.1, a copy of her Matriculation Examination Certificate, issued by the Punjab School Education Board, has been annexed as Annexure P-1 with the petition, showing her date of birth to be 14.11.2001, thereby making her more than 19 years of age.

As regards petitioner no.2, a copy of his passport, issued by the Government of India, has been annexed as Annexure P-3, with the petition, showing his date of birth to be 28.08.1989, thereby making him more than 32 years of age.

However, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings initiated as per law.

December 07, 2020

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes
No.