

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10106-2020

DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 21, 2020

DEEPANJALI AND ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. MANOJ SHARMA, ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER.
MR. SAURAV KHURANA, DAG, PUNJAB.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondent No.4 to 7 who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

On 4.12.2020, learned State counsel sought time to verify the existence of alleged threat.

Reply has been filed by learned counsel for the State wherein as per the respective statements made by the petitioners (Annexures R-1/T and R-2/T), they do not apprehend any danger.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute this fact and submits that the parties have compromised the matter and he has instructions to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

December 21, 2020
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No