

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.29686 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:18.02.2020**

1.

Poonam and others

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents
2.

Neha Narwal and others

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents
3.

Sumesh Kumari and others

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents
4.

Kamal Devi and others

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents
5.

Rekha and another

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents
6.

Mamta and others

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents

7.

CWP No.2348 of 2018 (O&M)

Dr. Sadhna

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) (in CWP Nos.29686-2017 and 2348-2018).

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(in CWP No.20835 and 19213 of 2018).

Mr. Tara Dutt, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(in CWP No.19808-2018).

Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(in CWP No.6957 of 2018 and 7813 of 2018).

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, Advocate for
respondent/Maharishi Dayanand University.

Mr. Tejeshwar Singh Nerwal, Advocate for
Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate for respondent No.3
(in CWP Nos. 7813 and 6957, of 2018).

Mr. Atul Kaushik, Advocate for
respondent No.7 (in CWP No.2348 of 2018).

Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for respondents No.15 to 20
(in CWP No.29686 of 2017).

Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate for respondent/Guru Jambheshwar
University, Hisar

Mr. Rohit Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.21
(in CWP No.29686 of 2017).

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This order shall dispose of CWP Nos. 29686 of 2017 (Poonam

and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and anr.), 7813 of 2018 (Kamal Devi and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 6957 of 2018 (Sumesh Kumari and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 19808 of 2018 (Neha Narwal and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 19213 of 2018 (Rekha and another Vs. State of Haryana and ors.), 2348 of 2018 (Dr. Sadhna Vs. State of Haryana and ors.) and 20835 of 2018 (Mamta and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.) as similar issue is involved in this bunch of petitions.

The petitioners in these connected petitions were aggrieved of a decision taken by the Director General Higher Education, Haryana whereby the subject of Economics in B.Com was being permitted to be taught by teachers of Commerce instead of teachers of Economics.

Court has been informed that the impugned decision has since been withdrawn and the matter is now under reconsideration at the hands of the Director General, Higher Education, Haryana.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in these writ petitions.

Same are disposed of as infructuous.

Pending application(s), if any, in this bunch of petitions also stands disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

February 18, 2020

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No