

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-10114 of 2020

Date of Decision: 04.12.2020

Tabussum Qureshi and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 8.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the father of the petitioner No.1 has given a complaint to the police and acting upon the same the house of petitioner No.2 was visited by police officials.

A perusal of the representation reveals that it lacks all kinds of particulars and is vague. Apart from it, it is also clear that the parents of petitioner No.1 have adopted the remedy in accordance with law by making a complaint to police, therefore the averments regarding threat by private respondents are not worth acceptance.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any reason to exercise the extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

04.12.2020

Anjal

**[MANOJ BAJAJ]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No