

already moved a representation to the Superintendent of Police to ensure the smooth execution of the contract of the petitioner by restraining respondents No.5 to 12 from interfering in the works of the petitioner.

This Court finds that the claim made by the petitioner is involving disputed questions of fact which cannot be adjudicated upon in the writ petition. On the contrary, the petitioner is having an efficacious remedy of filing the civil suit for injunction against respondents No.5 to 12 before the Civil Court. Moreover, this Court is not supposed to pass any order directing the Superintendent of Police to decide representation moved by the petitioner unless he shows a legal right which binds down the Superintendent of Police to decide the representation. However, no such provision has been pointed out by the petitioner.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail his alternate remedy of filing civil suit for the same cause of action.

Dismissed.

December 04, 2020
renu

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No

S.No.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C

Date of Decision:04.12.2020

.....Petitioner

Vs.

.....Respondent

=====

IN VIRTUAL COURT

=====

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

December 04, 2020

renu

**(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No