

108

(Proceedings through V.C.)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20807 of 2020

Date of Decision:04.12.2020

SATPAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

**CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Malika Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that the appeal which have been preferred by him i.e Appeal case No.10/DC/Consolidation/2019 (Annexure P-9) preferred by the petitioner is pending consideration before respondent No.2- The Assistant Director, Consolidation-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Karnal wherein no decision has been taken. It has also been pointed out that similar three appeals which have been preferred stand decided vide order dated 28.08.2019 (Annexures P-11 to P-13) allowing the same in similar situation as in the case of the petitioner. The application which has been moved by the petitioner for restraining his dispossession has also not been decided.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana received instructions from the Assistant Director, Consolidation-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Karnal-respondent No.2 and according to the said instructions the appeal preferred by the petitioner shall be decided within a period of eight weeks from today.

In the light of the statement made by the State counsel, the present petition is disposed of binding down respondent No.2 to the statement of the State counsel.

It is further observed that status quo with regard to the possession of the petitioner shall be maintained till the decision on the appeal of the petitioner is taken.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

December 04, 2020

Jyoti-1 Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No