

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.117

CWP No.20811 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: December 04, 2020

HARPAL SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners were appointed as Clerks in the Panchayat Department vide order dated 12.04.2013. The selection process had commenced in the year 1989 but due to some reason, the appointments were made after 24 years. Subsequent to their appointment, their pay was fixed in accordance with a notional date of appointment and seniority was also accordingly fixed. Their grievance, however, is that they have not been paid arrears of salary w.e.f. the date of notional appointment. Even representation dated 16.03.2020 (Annexure P-8) has not been responded to.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon order dated 18.10.2019 passed in CWP No.12206 of 2019 titled as '*Kuldeep and others vs. State of Haryana and another*' (Annexure P-9) vide which the Director Secondary Education Haryana was directed to decide representation of the petitioners therein within a limited time frame.

CWP No.20811 of 2020

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service.
5. Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to seek a written statement from the respondents.
6. The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the pending representation dated 16.03.2020 (Annexure P-8) within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
7. In case, an order adverse to the interests of the petitioners is to be passed reasons in support thereof will also be mentioned.

December 04, 2020
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No