

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-40681-2020 (O&M).

Raj Kumar and others

.. Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

**PRESENT Mr.Shiva Khurmi, Advocate, for
Mr.Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

Mr.Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

**Mr.N.S.Shekhawat, Advocate,
for respondent**

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

Through video conference

The present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as according to the learned counsel for the petitioners life and liberty of the petitioners is in danger at the hands of accused persons and with a further prayer to decide the representation (Annexure P-1), in this regard.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he is confining his prayer in the present petition only to the extent of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and would not press any thing

whatsoever. He has further submitted that he is not pressing for anything either on the civil rights of the petitioner or the persons against whom the complaint has been filed.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection in case the prayer of the petitioners with regard to protection of life and liberty is considered without touching the controversy between the petitioners and the persons against whom they have levelled alleged allegations.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, I am satisfied that the present petition can be disposed of at this stage, without calling for the reply from the State in view of the fact that scope of the present petition has been confined only to the extent of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3, to assess the threat perception to the petitioners within a period of two weeks and thereafter, if so required, to take appropriate steps in accordance with law. It is made clear that this order would not be deemed to observe anything on the inter se dispute between the petitioner and the persons against whom allegations have been made.

December 07, 2020.
raj arora

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No