

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20604-2020

Date of decision: 03.12.2020

Sukhdev Singh and others

.....Petitioners.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Anju Arora, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioners is that the services rendered by them as Special Police Officers is not being counted as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retirement benefits. They got issued legal notice dated 30.07.2020 to the authorities, ventilating their grievance, but complain of inaction thereon.

Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, learned counsel for the petitioners, would further assert that the validity of the claims of the petitioners stands settled in their favour in the light of the judgments of this Court in *CWP-2371-2020*, titled 'Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab and others' decided on 31.08.2010; *CWP-9936-2017*, titled 'Sukhjeet Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others' decided on 15.02.2018; and *CWP-7127-2019*, titled 'Jarnail Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others' decided on 01.04.2019.

Ms. Anju Arora, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, would assure this Court that the authorities would take appropriate action upon the petitioners' legal notice dated 30.07.2020, given reasonable time.

In that view of the matter, as it is for the authorities concerned to address the petitioners' grievance in the first instance, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent-authorities to take appropriate action on the petitioners' legal notice dated 30.07.2020. This exercise shall be completed expeditiously and in any event, not later than three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

03.12.2020

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no