

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10101-2020

Date of Decision: 04.12.2020

Anita Rani and Parveen

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed by under Article 226 for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, as they are apprehending threats from the private respondents, who are against their alleged marriage.

Learned counsel has invited the attention of the Court to the certificate of marriage (Annexure P-3) to contend that the petitioners got married on 30.11.2020 and the said marriage was performed against the wishes of the private respondents. He submits that the private respondents have been threatening the petitioners and a representation in this regard has been given to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District SBS Nagar.

After hearing the learned counsel, this Court finds that the averments in the petition contain the date of marriage as 04.11.2020. Apart from it, the representation was also given to respondent No.2 on 4.11.2020 and contains the date of marriage as 04.11.2020. The petition does not

inspire any confidence. Resultantly, this Court does not find any reason to exercise the extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

04.12.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No