

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

**CRWP No. 10038 of 2020
Date of decision : 03.12.2020**

Ekam and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present : Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LALIT BATRA, J. (ORAL)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

Petitioners have sought protection of their life and liberty, *inter alia*, taking the plea that they performed the marriage with each other, whereas private respondents are not accepting their marriage and now they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty from private respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners performed marriage on 27.11.2020.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of Court, Mr. S. S. Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Complete copy of paperbook has been supplied to him.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and perusal of record, respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala, is directed to consider the representation dated 28.11.2020 (Annexure P-5) and issue necessary orders, as warranted by law, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

03.12.2020

Rajeev (rvs)

**(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No