

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**CRWP-10053-2020
Date of decision: 03.12.2020**

Priyanka and anotherPetitioners
Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Rohiteshwar Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 21 and 26 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 30.11.2020 at Pracheen Pashupati Nath Shiv Mandir (Regd.), MDC, Sector 6, Panchkula, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

In support of the averments made in the petition, the petitioners have also enclosed photographs taken at the time of their marriage.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. H.S. Multani, Asstt. AG, Punjab has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 30.11.2020 (Annexure P-5) was made to the

Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Learned State Counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot for requisite compliance.

03.12.2020

Vinay

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No