

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10026-2020

Date of Decision:- 3.12.2020

AAKASH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar Singhal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

By way of filing this Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect his life and liberty from respondent No.4, who kept the petitioner as bonded labour in his fields, and after the petitioner got free, respondent No.4 is extending threats to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that previously the petitioner was working with respondent No.4 as labourer and now the said employer is insisting him to join again the job in his fields. He submits that since the petitioner has refused the offer of respondent No.4, therefore, threats are being extended by him to the petitioner. He prays that necessary

directions be issued to the official respondents to provide the protection to the petitioner.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the contents of the writ petition, this Court finds that no supportive material has been placed on record and even the bald averments lack the necessary particulars. The pleadings alone are not sufficient enough for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Resultantly, the writ petition is dismissed.

December 3, 2020

Neelam

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No