

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

252

CWP-28820-2017

Date of decision: 25.02.2020

Kalpna Devi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. R.S. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner-Kalpna Devi *inter alia* with the prayer to quash the order dated 28.11.2017 (Annexure P-9), whereby, services of the petitioner have been terminated without complying with the mandatory provisions.

The brief case, as submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner based on pleadings, is that the petitioner was issued appointment orders on 29.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) for Female Constable. On 28.11.2017, she however received a communication from the Senior Superintendent of Police, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, that result of the District Cadre has been rectified and services of the petitioner have been terminated.

On instructions from Shri Gurharpreet Singh, Constable, O/o Recruitment Cell, DGP, Punjab, learned State counsel submits that reply

dated 26.03.2018, in the present case was filed by Shri Dayama Harish Kumar Om Parkash, IPS, Superintendent of Police, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. He submits that thereafter, a short affidavit dated 13.01.2020 was also filed by Shri J. Elanchezhian, IPS, Assistant Inspector General of Police, Personell-II, CPO, Punjab. In the latest affidavit, it has been submitted that the petitioner appeared in the recruitment process for filling up 7416 posts of Constables conducted in the year 2016. Learned State counsel further submits that another candidate namely Jaspreet Kaur, who was higher than the petitioner in merit, filed CWP-263-2018. The said writ petition has been disposed of by this Court vide judgment dated 13.12.2019.

This Court having examined the facts as submitted above, deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition in the same terms as judgment dated 13.12.2019, passed in CWP-263-2018.

Counsel for the petitioner however submits that he be given liberty to file an application for an appropriate relief, in case the petitioner has any different submission to make.

Writ petition is **disposed of** as noticed above and with the liberty as prayed for.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

25.02.2020
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No