

218
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7575-2016
Date of decision: 19.2.2020

Jitender Kumar **Petitioner.**
vs.
Union of India and others **Respondents.**

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Madan Gupta, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for respondent No. 4.
.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

By way of this writ petition, the petitioner assails the process of analysis undertaken by the Institute of Banking Personnel Selection Mumbai. This document dated 1.4.2016, downloaded from the internet, is filed as Annexure P-6. The issue pertains to recruitment to posts in Oriental Bank of Commerce.

In the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 4455-2019 dated 29.4.2019, titled '*Rajbir Surajbhan Singh vs. The Chairman, Institute of Banking Personnel Selection, Mumbai*', a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India would not lie against the Institute of Banking Personnel Selection, Mumbai, as it does not come within the ambit of an '*authority*' within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution of India.

In the light of the afore-stated binding edict, the present writ petition is not maintainable and is accordingly dismissed on that short ground.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

19.2.2020

preeti	
whether speaking/non speaking	yes/no
whether reportable/non reportable	yes/no