

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2693 of 2018
Date of Decision : 10.01.2020

G.L. Gulati

...Petitioner

Versus

The Chief Administrator, HUDA and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Reply filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Copy thereof handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. A perusal of Annexure R3/A, attached with the reply, reveals that communication was sent by the SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HSVP, Panipat to the petitioner requiring him to attend office on any working day within seven days from the date of issuance of letter dated 19.04.2019 to inspect the record so that the matter could be resolved.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents states that despite the aforementioned communication, the petitioner did not turn up to inspect the record but even then he would be allowed to inspect the record on any day to be fixed by this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner on telephonic instructions from the petitioner states that he would go to the office of respondent No.3 within 15 days from today to inspect the record.

4. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to inspect the record by visiting the office of respondent No.3 within 15 days from today. On request, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the writ petition, in case cause survives.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

10.01.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No