

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.102

CRWP No. 9994 of 2020.

Date of Decision: 02nd December, 2020.

Kashika & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms. Damini Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and personal liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents and not to interfere in their matrimonial life. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, on 24.11.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, at the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts her prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar Rural, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-6) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

02.12.2020.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable?	Yes/No