

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CM Nos.6621-22 of 2020 in/and
Civil Writ Petition No.7322 of 2016

Date of Decision: July 23rd, 2020

Parvinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate
for applicants-respondents No.4 to 6.

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

CM No.6621 of 2020

Prayer in this application is for placing on record
CM No.6622 of 2020.

Application is allowed.

CM No.6622 of 2020 is taken on record.

CM No.6622 of 2020 in/and CWP-7322 of 2016

Notice of the application to the counsel for the
non-applicant/petitioner as also the counsel for respondents No.1 and 2.

On considering the submissions made by the counsel for the
parties, hearing of the main case, with the consent of the parties, is preponed
and the same is taken on board for consideration and disposal.

Mr. Sahil Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts
notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate,
accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the grievance, if any, of the petitioner, was limited to the extent of respondent No.6-Raman Kumar. He contends that the said respondent has conceded that the petitioner is senior to the said respondent.

Counsel for applicant-respondent No.6 states that the petitioner is senior to applicant-respondent No.6, Mr. Raman Kumar and he has no objection to the petition being allowed by declaring the petitioner senior to him.

Counsel for respondent No.2-The Punjab State Agriculture Marketing Board alias Punjab Mandi Board, states that petitioner would be placed at Sr. No.112 of the seniority list and respondent No.6 at Sr. No.114 of the seniority list and this exercise will take three weeks.

Counsel for the petitioner, in the light of the statements made the counsel for applicant-respondent No.6 and respondent No.2, submits that the petitioner has no grievance or claim as regards respondents No.3 to 5 are concerned rendering the present writ petition infructuous.

In the light of the above statements of the counsel for the parties, the present writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous. Parties shall be bound by the statements of their counsel as recorded above.

July 23rd, 2020
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No