

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRWP No.9946 of 2020
Date of Decision: 01.12.2020**

NAVNEET KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms.Neha Dewan, Advocate for
the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through
video conferencing.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners
submits that due to some typographical error, petitioner No.1
has been shown to be son of Lakhwinder Singh i.e. petitioner
No.2. In fact, petitioner No.1 is wife of petitioner No.2.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary
directions to the official respondents for protecting their
civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the
private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized
marriage on 25.11.2020 on attaining the age of majority. They
feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the
hands of the private respondents and pray that their
personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala /respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 25.11.2020 through email.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl..A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the representation (if any) filed by the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.1 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 01, 2020

anita

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No