

111 (Proceedings through V.C.)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20462-2020

Date of Decision : 01.12.2020

Hirdey Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Rajesh K.Sheoran, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court for setting aside the inclusion of the name of petitioner in the rejection list (Annexure P-9) as it is asserted that the claim of the petitioner was rejected on the wrong ground that only 10% of the land of the petitioner has been acquired whereas 100% land of the petitioner in the Revenue Estate stood acquired by the respondents.

Highlighting this aspect, petitioner has filed a representation dated 25.09.2020 (Annexure P-10) to the Estate Officer-II, Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram, followed by another representation dated 13.10.2020 (Annexure P-12) to the same authority alongwith the requisite documents but the said representations have not been decided as yet.

Mr. Rajesh K.Sheoran, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3 informs the Court that the competent authority to decide the claim of the petitioner, as submitted by him through representations (Annexures P-10 and P-12) is the Zonal Administrator, Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram.

In the light of the fact that the representations of the petitioner are pending with the Estate Officer-II, Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram, without any decision thereon, direction is issued to the said authority to forward the claim of the petitioner, as submitted by him through these representations (Annexures P-10 and P-12), to the Zonal Administration, Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram, at the earliest. The Zonal Administration, Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram, shall decide the said representations by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks from today.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

01.12.2020
mamta

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No