

COCP No.2649 of 2020

Date of Decision : 09.12.2020

Rajinder Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Husan Lal and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentionally and willfully disobeying order (Annexure P-1) dated 11.09.2019 in CWP No.25849 of 2014.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order (Annexure P-1) dated 11.09.2019, CWP No.25849 of 2014 was allowed, impugned order dated 27.03.2012 was quashed and the respondents were directed to grant the benefit of ACP Scheme with effect from the due dates on completion of 4, 9 and 14 years of service, in accordance with the ACP Scheme with the needful to be done within 06 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment but despite the certified copy of the judgment having been supplied and the stipulated period of time having lapsed, needful still has not been done.

proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against them for non-compliance with orders dated 11.09.2019, in CWP No.25849 of 2014.

5. Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, learned Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice and on instructions from *Dr. Satnam Singh, SMO, Tarn Taran*, states that needful has been done in compliance with the directions dated 11.09.2019 in CWP No.25849 of 2014 and the benefit admissible to the petitioner as per the ACP Scheme has been credited in the petitioner's account, therefore, no further orders are called for and the contempt petition may be disposed of, as such.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement of learned State Counsel, he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if the circumstances of the case, so warrant.

7. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is ***disposed of*** as not pressed at this stage, with the liberty, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

December 09, 2020

'Rajneesh-Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>