

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

C.W.P.No. 22389 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 07.02.2020

Sukhdev Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Damanjeet Bhoriwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

CM-2070-CWP-2020

Application is allowed as prayed for and jamabandi Annexure
P-7 is taken on record.

CWP No.22389 of 2019

The petitioner is aggrieved because the Assistant Collector, Ist
Grade, Bathinda, has not taken any action upon his representation dated
1.4.2019 (Annexure P-6).

A perusal of the aforementioned representation shows that it has
been alleged therein that respondent No.5, who is Lamberdar of the village
has illegally encroached *Shamlat* land.

A perusal of Rule 16 of the Punjab Land Revenue Rules, (as
applicable to Punjab) relating to dismissal of village headman shows that
illegal occupation of *Shamlat* land is not a ground for dismissal. Thus, even
if the allegation is taken to be correct on face value, respondent No.5 cannot

be dismissed on this count.

The writ petition, thus, has no merit and is dismissed. The petitioner shall be at liberty to take any other appropriate remedy available in law for getting his grievance redressed.

February 07, 2020
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No